

Υπηρεσίες διαχείρισης μεγάλου όγκου δορυφορικών δεδομένων για την παρακολούθηση, αντιμετώπιση και πρόβλεψη γεωκινδύνων

Geohazards









InSAR and PSI applications

• Monitor tectonic activities

• Subsidence associated to rapid urbanization

• Subsidence associated to groundwater and gas extraction

• Subsidence associated to subway construction and operation

• Monitor ground deformation

• Building deformation related to landslides

• Volcanic deformation

• Deformation associated to mining activities

• Natural and anthropogenic coastal deformation

Phase and amplitude



Phase

Amplitude



Image from: Batuhan Osmanoğlu et al,2016



The principal of SAR interferometry technique, lies on the measurement of the phase difference between two or more SAR images, acquired from different look-angles and times.

Phase components



Source: Luis Veci, ESA, TOPS Interferometry Tutorial

Interferogram



Source: geObservatory (http://geobservatory.beyond-eocenter.eu/)

geObservatory



· Images are downloaded within 3 minutes from Greek Sentinel hubs

API Hub

Bodrum–Kos tsunamigenic earthquake (Mw6.6), July 2017



Samos M6.9 earthquake, October 2020



Contribution in the 248th newsletter of the Hellenic Association of Surveying engineers (P.S.D.AT.M.)

March 2021 Thessaly, Greece, earthquake sequence



Kontoes et al., June 2022, Seismol. Res. Lett.

Geobservatory fully automatic service workflow in brief

Continuous retrieval of earthquake events using EMSC, USGS APIs

Automatic (or manual) activation of event processing (according magnitude, depth, location)



Upload to the user front-end the new products and update events



Execute workflow to produce products (interferogram, displacement etc.) for each master slave pair via parallel processing



Automatic selection of Sentinel-1 SAR image pairs (reference, secondary) around epicenter for all passing orbits (ascending, descending) for the pre-seismic and co-seismic products



4.00 5.00 6.0 7.0

Continuously predict and check for availability and download images from the faster download location.



API Hub



Future Work

Geobservatory

- migrating to open source
- displacement maps
- other DInSAR related products

From DInSAR to multi-temporal SAR interferometry







Big interferometric data processing

- P-SBAS (Casu et al, 2014)
- LiCSBAS (Morishita et al, 2020)
- InSAR Norway (https://insar.ngu.no/)
- BodenBewegungsdienst Deutschland (BBD) (https://bodenbewegungsdienst.bgr.de/)
- TRE ALTAMIRA and Geomatic Ventures Limited (GVL) (https://mangomap.com/geomatic-ventures-limited/maps/72883/united-kingdom-relative-deformation-map)
- Danish nationwide deformation monitoring (Bischo et al, 2020)
- InSAR Greece (Papoutsis et al, 2020)

InSAR Greece

I4 frames
I.164 Sentinel-1 SLC images
I10,496 km2
I3.660.887 permanent scatterers









Frames



Processing statistics



More than 3 TB of input SLC

Including the volume of intermediate products needed to reproduce all products resulted in a total storage size of **12 TB**.

- The InSAR Greece product was generated in 1.5 months
- For a full Sentinel-1 frame stack, data downloading ranges from 6 to 10 hours for a stack of 100 images
- However, these values are not absolute and many factors affect the processing time, e.g., the land cover of each frame.
- This time frame to map the entire country highlights the efficiency of our P-PSI processing chain.

Final corrections in PS displacements

- Algorithm for non uniform split of a frame depending on land use land cover
- Several hours of additional manual analysis by an InSAR expert for resolving phase discontinuities
- Atmospheric phase noise correction
- A transformation of PSs from overlapping frames, by solving the inconsistencies of pixels belonging to adjacent tracks

InSAR Greece deformation phenomena



1. Xanthi industrial zone 2. Philippi plain 3. Kalochori-Sindos region (subsidence) 4. Kalochori-Sindos region (uplift) 5. Anthemountas basin 6. Eastern Thessaly plain 7. Western Thessaly plain 8. Spercheios basin 9. Pindos geotectonic zone 10. Evinos dam 11. Mornos dam 12. Mornos sealed bank 13. Sergoula landslide 14. Sergoula alluvial fun 15. Retaining system on A8 16. Panagopoula landslide 17. Kopaida plane 18. Theves industrial area 19. Thriasio plane 20. Malakasa landslide 21. Mantoudi magnesite mine 22. Northern Euboia Isl.

Identified deformation

Land subsidence (overexploitation of the aquifers) Uplift (recharge of the aquifers) Land subsidence (natural compaction) Mining-induced deformation Landslides High landslide susceptibility zones Deforming constructions

Land subsidence (organic soils oxidation)

InSAR Greece deformation phenomena

Deformation in construction sites



Ground water overexploitation



Underground water level changes in Kalochori 22 82° F 22.85° E 0.5 22.82° E 22.85° E

Large retaining system (national road axis

Sealed bank at the reservoir of the Mornos

A8)

dam

zone.

Mornos dam body

Evinos Earth dam body

Abandoned Magnesite mine of Mantoudi Deforming site in the Xanthi industrial

<-10 mm/v

Landslides



Kontoes et al, 2021 (doi:10.3390/land10040402)

21°30'E

Central and Western Greece

21°30'E

10

Papoutsis et al., 2020 (doi:10.3390/rs12193207)



Alatza et al, 2020 (doi:10.3390/geosciences10080293)

After slip effects of 2006 Kythira earthquake

Svigkas et al., 2020(doi:10.3390/rs12152396)

Future Work

InSAR Greece

- islands
- update 2015 2022
- deformation papers (dissemination)

Parallelized-PSI (P-PSI) processing chain



ISCE, StaMPS processing time performance optimization through parallel processing customization: P-PSI platform

ISCE and StaMPS software are not designed to fully exploit parallel or distributed resources. Simply installing on a system with such capabilities will only partially increase processing speed.

P-PSI customization main points

- Design to exploit the most of different parallel architectures: multi-core, multi CPU systems, server clusters, cloud platforms,
- Parallelize most demanding processing tasks
- Solve data-parallel problems and task-level parallelism using wrapper coding techniques to avoid large scale adaptations
- Result : 5 times faster processing on our 2x10 multithreaded CPU server





P-PSI Processing chain workflow: Parallelized steps



ISCE2 steps parallelization

- ISCE top-stack creates 10 sets of batch commands for the SLCt stack creation
- We parallelized the most time-consuming steps that did not exploit parallel resources by concurrent running of the processes that do not have any workflow dependencies
- Parallelized steps: Step 5 (ESD coregistration of bursts overlaps) and Step 7 (Coregistration of SLC bursts)



StaMPS steps parallelization

- StaMPS has the option to split the coregistered SLC stack into data chunks called "patches" forming a sort of a grid.
- We parallelized the preprocessing of each patch (PS candidates extraction) developing a new python code module after decomposing the workflow dependencies

PS candidates extraction parallelization algorithm. (a. selection of candidates, b. coordinate calculation, c. Digital Elevation Model (DEM) calculation, d. phase calculation)

Split stack Sequential algorithm: Repeat N times



N: number of patches n: CPUs a: selection of candidates b. coordinates calculation c. DEM calculation d. phase calculation X: 1..N Split stack Parallel algorithm: Run up to n processes concurrently Constrain:Start bX,cX,dX only if aX has finished for patch X



Cropped area split to patches



22°30'E

StaMPS steps parallelization

- StaMPS has the option to split the coregistered SLC stack into data chunks called "patches" forming a sort of a grid.
- Patches contain uneven number of data (PS candidate points) which is related with the land cover type.
- Example

We choose to split a cropped area on the map to 3x3 = 9 patches. PS candidates are much more dense in the rural areas (eg Patch 5 - Volos).

In case 2 CPUs are available the embedded StaMPS algorithm split the patches in two groups, one for each CPU, determining a balanced number of patches i.e. patch 1-4 (total PS 7000) in the first group and patch 5-9 (tortal PS 33000) in the second.

The processing time is proportional to the number of PS, not to the number of patches, so the algorithm we developed for the P-PSI platform split instead the patches in two groups balancing the number of PS candidates i.e. patch 5 (total PS 20000) in the first group and all other patches in group 2 (total PS 20000)





StaMPS steps parallelization

- Exploit the option to split input data (coregistered SLC stack) into patches applying concurrent processing on group of patches for each CPU
- Patches contain uneven PS candidate points which is proportional to the processing time. The parallized customization include algorithm to produce more balanced size of processing data (group of patches) for each concurrent process.
- Parallelize the preprocessing of each patch (PS candidates extraction) developing a new python code module after decomposing the workflow dependencies



StaMPS steps parallelization performance benchmark



Processing chain time performance improvement

Subprocess	Conventional PSI Method for the Full Sentinel-1 Frame		Our P-PSI Pipeline for the Full Sentinel-1 Frame		
	Processing Time (h)	% of Subprocess Processing Time	Processing Time (h)	% of Subprocess Processing Time	Speed-up
ISCE2 preprocessing	23.0	6.46%	23.0	30.55%	1.0
ISCE2 ESD	19.4	5.44%	1.7	2.20%	11.7
ISCE2 SLC coregistration	36.3	10.21%	15.8	20.97%	2.3
ISCE2 wrap-up	1.5	0.42%	1.5	2.00%	1.0
PS candidates selection (mt_prep)	5.3	1.50%	0.3	0.36%	20.0
Stamps 1–5	270.0	75.96%	33.0	43.93%	8.2
Total	355.4	100.00%	75.1	100.00%	4.7

Both ISCE and StaMPS in their latest releases still need P-PSI developed parallelization add-ons to exploit multiple processor resources

A latest release of P-PSI is recently installed (under testing) on an NTUA virtual machine

Papoutsis, I.; Kontoes, C.; Alatza, S.; Apostolakis, A.; Loupasakis, C. InSAR Greece with Parallelized Persistent Scatterer Interferometry: A National Ground Motion Service for Big Copernicus Sentinel-1 Data. *Remote Sens.* **2020**, *12*, 3207. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12193207